

P2Y1 and P2Y12 receptor heterodimerisation: From recombinant systems to native detection

M.A. Safar; R. Wood; M.R. Cunningham; C. Kennedy

SIPBS, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK

Background and Purpose: Purinergic P2Y1 and P2Y12 receptors belong to the class A family of transmembrane G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) and have been demonstrated to exist as homodimers and oligomers and form heterodimers with other GPCRs. P2Y12 and protease-activated receptor 4 (PAR4) were recently reported to form a heterodimer with implications in receptor trafficking and signalling. Our unpublished studies suggest that hP2Y1 and hP2Y12 heterodimerise; therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the functional relevance of receptor interaction, firstly in recombinant systems and then natively in microglial cells.

Experimental Approach: tSA201 cells, which are a transformed human kidney cell line, were transfected or co-transfected with hP2Y1 and hP2Y12 receptors tagged with HA (N-terminal) or a fluorescent protein (C-terminal). Their cellular co-localisation was determined using confocal microscopy and physical interaction by co-immunoprecipitation. Cell surface expression and receptor trafficking were quantified using an established anti-HA antibody surface ELISA approach. Native P2Y receptors were detected in mouse BV-2 microglial cells using RT-PCR and indirect immunofluorescence (using selective anti-P2Y1 and P2Y12 antibodies). A proximity ligation assay (PLA) was used to investigate native P2Y1 and P2Y12 receptor association.

Key Results: Previous co-immunoprecipitation experiments revealed that P2Y1 and P2Y12 receptors interact to form a heterodimer. This study shows that HA- and fluorescent protein tagged hP2Y1 and hP2Y12 receptors express predominantly at the cell surface in tSA201 cells. HA-hP2Y1 receptor expression was enhanced by 1.75 ± 0.311 -fold ($n = 3$), at the cell surface when co-expressed with hP2Y12-eCFP but not vice versa. hP2Y1 and hP2Y12 internalisation to ADP (0–60 min, 10 μ M) was maximum 30-min post-treatment ($28.8\% \pm 6.2$ and $20.8\% \pm 7.8$, respectively). When receptors were co-expressed, ADP did not reduce receptor surface expression ($108.4\% \pm 11.1$ for hP2Y1 and $111.7\% \pm 10.8$ for hP2Y12 30 min, relative to untreated; $n = 3$). Interestingly, native P2Y1 and P2Y12 receptors in BV-2 cells also appear to co-localise (Pearson's correlation coefficient = 0.684 ± 0.004 compared with P2Y1 (PCC = 0.095 ± 0.031) and P2Y12 (PCC = 0.162 ± 0.014) alone ($n = 3$, 200 cells); however, signals were detected intracellularly rather than at the cell surface. PLA is currently ongoing to assess if co-localisation translates to interaction.

Conclusions and Implications: hP2Y1 and hP2Y12 receptor heterodimerisation impacted ADP-mediated internalisation when both receptors are overexpressed in tSA201 cells. Co-localisation studies in BV-2 cells suggest that the location of receptor interaction may differ depending upon the cell types explored. Further work is under way to investigate these differences.